

1
2 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
3 SULLIVAN, LLP
4 Harold A. Barza (Bar No. 80888)
halbarza@quinnemanuel.com
Amar L. Thakur (Bar No. 194025)
amarthakur@quinnemanuel.com
Vincent Pollmeier (Bar No. 210684)
vincentpollmeier@quinnemanuel.com
865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017-2543
Telephone: (213) 443-3000
Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

9
10 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP
11 William O. Cooper (Bar No. 279385)
willcooper@quinnemanuel.com
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
12 San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
13 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Aylus Networks, Inc.
15

MARK D. FOWLER, Bar No. 124235
mark.fowler@dlapiper.com
CHRISTINE K. CORBETT, Bar No. 209128
christine.corbett@dlapiper.com
ROBERT BUERGI, Bar No. 242910
robert.buergi@dlapiper.com
ERIK R. FUEHRER, Bar No. 252578
erik.fuehrer@dlapiper.com
JONATHAN HICKS, Bar No. 274634
jonathan.hicks@dlapiper.com
DLA PIPER LLP (US)
2000 University Avenue
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2214
Telephone: 650.833.2000
Facsimile: 650.833.2001

ROBERT WILLIAMS, Bar No. 246990
robert.williams@dlapiper.com
DLA PIPER LLP (US)
401 B Street, Suite 1700
San Diego, CA 92101-4297
Telephone: (619) 699-2700
Facsimile: (619) 699-2701

Attorneys for Defendant
APPLE INC.

18
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
20
21 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
22
23 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

22 AYLUS NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware
23 corporation,

24 Plaintiff,
25 v.

26 APPLE INC., a California corporation

27 Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:13-cv-04700-EMC
**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER REGARDING PROPOSED
CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS**

1 WHEREAS, Aylus Networks, Inc. (“Aylus”) and Apple Inc. (“Apple”) filed their Joint
2 Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement on July 28, 2014.

3 WHEREAS, Aylus filed its Opening Claim Construction Brief on September 11, 2014.

4 WHEREAS, Apple filed its Responsive Claim Construction Brief on September 25, 2014.

5 WHEREAS, Aylus filed its Reply Claim Construction Brief on October, 2, 2014.

6 WHEREAS, Apple filed its Supplemental Claim Construction Brief on October 24, 2014.

7 WHEREAS, both Apple and Aylus, after meeting and conferring, request that the Court
8 proceed with the Claim Construction hearing (notwithstanding Apple’s pending motion to stay)
9 consistent with updated proposed claim constructions.

10 WHEREAS, both Apple and Aylus now agree upon constructions for the claim terms
11 “wide area network,” “remote from the UE,” and “resides in the signaling domain.”

12 WHEREAS, Apple has revised its proposed construction regarding the claim term
13 “cooperate with the [network control point/the serving node] CP logic.”

14 WHEREAS, Aylus has revised its proposed construction regarding the claim term “serving
15 node.”

16 WHEREAS, the presentation of the proposed terms at the claim construction hearing will
17 now be as follows:

18 1. “Negotiate media content delivery between the MS and the MR.”

19 2. “VCR controls”

20 3. “Cooperate with [network control point/the serving node] CP logic”

21 4. “Video play controls”

22 5. “The CP logic . . . serves as a [first/second] proxy”

23 6. “Handset”

24 7. “Serving node”

25

26

27

28

1 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND RESPECTFULLY
2 REQUESTED by the parties that the Court proceed with the Claim Construction hearing
3 (notwithstanding Apple's pending motion to stay) with the following proposed constructions:

| Claim Term | Plaintiff's Proposed Construction | Defendant's Proposed Construction | Agreed-Upon Construction |
|--|---|---|---|
| Wide area network | | | Plain and ordinary meaning. |
| Remote from the UE | | | Plain and ordinary meaning. |
| Resides in the signaling domain | | | The CP logic never receives any media content. The CP logic is involved only in commands and instructions and is not in the media path. |
| Serving node | A node configured to establish communication with the UE. | A node configured to establish an IMS session with the UE. | |
| Cooperate with [network control point/the serving node] CP logic | Plain and ordinary meaning. Alternative construction: Work with CP logic to coordinate transport of audiovisual content from the MS to the MR. | The CPP logic communicates with at least one of the MS and MR and the CP logic communicates with at least the other of the MS and MR. | |

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

2 DATED: November 3, 2014

3
4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

5 */s/ Amar L. Thakur*

6 Harold A. Barza
Amar L. Thakur
Vincent Pollmeier

7
8 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Aylus Networks, Inc.

5 */s/ Mark D. Fowler*

6 MARK D. FOWLER
CHRISTINE K. CORBETT
ROBERT BUERGI
ROBERT WILLIAMS
ERIK R. FUEHRER
JONATHAN HICKS

10
11 Attorneys for Defendant,
12 Apple Inc.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 The Court hereby agrees to proceed with the Claim Construction hearing with the
2 following proposed constructions:

| 3 Claim Term | 4 Plaintiff's Proposed Construction | 5 Defendant's Proposed Construction | 6 Agreed-Upon Construction |
|--|---|---|---|
| 7 Wide area network | | | Plain and ordinary meaning. |
| 8 Remote from the UE | | | Plain and ordinary meaning. |
| 9 Resides in the signaling domain | | | The CP logic never receives any media content. The CP logic is involved only in commands and instructions and is not in the media path. |
| 10 Serving node | A node configured to establish communication with the UE. | A node configured to establish an IMS session with the UE. | |
| 11 Cooperate with [network control point/the serving node] CP logic | Plain and ordinary meaning. Alternative construction: Work with CP logic to coordinate transport of audiovisual content from the MS to the MR. | The CPP logic communicates with at least one of the MS and MR and the CP logic communicates with at least the other of the MS and MR. | |

21 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22 Dated: 11/4/14

23 By:

